# Emulsifiers Derived from Linseed Oil and Their Potential Use in Coatings 1

W. L. KUBIE, J. L. O'DONNELL, H. M. TEETER, and J. C. COWAN, Northern Regional Research Laboratory, Peoria, Illinois

## Abstract

In the development of a stable linseed oil emulsion paint, a series of emulsifiers were prepared from linseed oil and its fatty acids and alcohols: (a) linseed monoglycerides, (b) monoand dilinseed fatty sorbitan esters and a mixed ester obtained by the transesterification of linseed oil with sorbitol, (c) polyoxyethylene ether adducts formed by reacting ethylene oxide with these sorbitan esters, and (d) linseed polyoxy-ethylene ether made by ethoxylation of linseed alcohols. Another series of surfactants was prepared by esterifying a polyoxyethylene ether of sorbitol with various amounts of linseed fatty acids. Conditions of preparation and pertinent physical and chemical properties of the emulsifiers are given.

Some of these emulsifiers demonstrated filmforming properties. Combinations were formulated into linseed oil emulsion paints with and without zinc oxide. Paints containing zinc oxide have been relatively stable in viscosity for about

#### Introduction

HE NORTHERN LABORATORY in cooperation with the National Flaxseed Processors Association has undertaken a program of research on linseed oil emulsion paints. It was agreed that reactive emulsifiers should be developed because they could polymerize and become part of the film. Accordingly, reactive emulsifiers were prepared and evaluated in linseed oil emulsion paints.

Benefits that might accrue if higher concentrations of emulsifiers could be used without increasing the water sensitivity of the coating are suggested frequently in the current literature. H. L. Jaffe and J. H. Fickenscher (6) state that too finely divided clay leads to coagulation during a freeze-thaw cycle

because the increased surface of the clay is slightly starved for surface active material. F. J. Hahn (4) suggests that the agglomeration of pigment and latex particles caused by the action of thickeners can be prevented by an increase in the concentration of surface active agents. Hilliard and Srail (5) conclude that the higher pigment volume concentration possible in solution paints, as compared to emulsion paints, results from the smaller particle size of the vehicle in the solution paint. Increased concentration of surface active agent should lead to smaller particle size of the vehicle, should give better dispersion of the pigment and should make it possible to have a higher pigment volume concentration in emulsion paints. Some of our results obtained with reactive emulsifiers in linseed oil emulsion paints have been reported previously (8).

### Preparation of Linseed Emulsifiers

Linseed monoglycerides were prepared by the method of Mattil and Sims (9). The crude product contained 73% a-monoglyceride. Two molecular distillations increased the a-monoglyceride to 93%.

A mixed linseed monoglyceride monolinseed sorbitan ester was made by alcoholysis of 1 mole of linseed oil with 2 moles of sorbitol.

The method of Griffin (3) was followed in making the mono- and dilinseed sorbitan esters and their polyoxyethylene derivatives.

Oleyl polyoxyethylene ether and linseed polyoxyethylene ether were made from oleyl and linseed alcohol, respectively, by adding ethylene oxide according to Karabinos et al. (7).

Polyoxyethylene sorbitol and its linseed esters were prepared by reacting sorbitol with ethylene oxide and subsequent esterification. Fine (1) suggests that this procedure yields sorbitol derivatives rather than its anhydrides.

Table I lists the details of the preparation of 12 emulsifiers. Two of these, oleyl polyoxyethylene ether and polyoxyethylene sorbitol, were not reactive toward oxygen in our tests. The former was included for comparison and the latter was used as an inter-

TABLE I Preparation of Linseed Emulsifiers

	C	Charge in moles			Cooking schedule		yst
Emulsifier	Fatty acids	Polyol	Ethylene oxide	Temp, C	Time, hr	Material	G
Alcoholysis		- 40				00	
Linseed monoglyceride	0.57ª	5.40		120	1	NaOCHs	5.0
Mixed linseed monoglyceride and monosorbitan esters	0.16ª	0.32	•••••	230 - 40	5	NaOCH <sub>3</sub> b	1.1
Polyoxyethylation						f 1	
Oleyl polyoxyethylene ether		0.25 °	3.70	170-80	9	KOH b	0.4
Linseed polyoxyethylene ether		0.50°	8.10	190	10	KOH b	0.7
Polyoxyethylene monolinseed sorbitan ester	••••	0.10	1.57	130-45	13	NaOCH <sub>3</sub> b	0.9
Polyoxyethylene dilinseed sorbitan ester		0.09	2.00	140-55	10	NaOCHab	0.14
Polyoxyethylene sorbitol		1.00	19.50	140	15	KOH	1.0
Esterification		2.00	20.00				1.0
Monolinseed sorbitan ester	0.40	0.44		230-40	1	NaOH b	0.2
Dilinseed sorbitan ester		0.15		230-40	2	NaOH b	0.1
Polyoxyethylene sorbitol monolinseed ester	0.20	0.20	•••••	170	90	KOH d, e	
		0.20	•••••	170	10	KOH d, e	•••••
Polyoxyethylene sorbitol dilinseed ester			•••••	170			•••••
Polyoxyethylene sorbitol trilinseed ester	1.50	0.50	•••••	170	50	KOH d, e	*****

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Presented at the AOCS meeting in Chicago, Ill., 1961.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> A laboratory of the No. Utiliz. Res. & Dev. Div., ARS, U.S.D.A.

Linseed oil.
 Catalyst was removed by neutralization with HCl in nonaqueous media and filtration through Celite bed.

d Treated with carbon (Darco G-60).

Residual catalyst from ether preparation.

mediate for the linseed esters. The chemical characteristics of the emulsifiers are shown in Table II.

Table III reports specific gravity, viscosities, and aqueous surface tension. Viscosities were determined with a Cannon-Fenske-Ostwald viscometer and surface tensions with a du Noüy tensiometer. These surface tensions show the products are in the emulsifier

TABLE II
Chemical Characteristics of Linseed Emulsifiers

Emulsifier	Acid no.	Sap.	I.V. (Wijs)	Ethylene oxide, moles/ mole
Linseed monoglyceride	0.4	151.0	151.0	•••••
and monosorbitan esters	0.6	140.0	136.0	*****
etherLinseed polyoxyethylene	•••••		23.8	15
ether	•••••	•••••	41.5	16
sorbitan ester	0.7	51.0	40.0	16
sorbitan esterPolyoxyethylene	0.5	72.4	54.6	21
sorbitol	•••••		<b>,</b>	20
ester	2.0	127.0	128.9	•••••
ester	0.2	157.0	155.0	
monolinseed ester	4.1	46.5	36.8	20
dilinseed esterPolyoxyethylene sorbitol	3.0	74.8	69.7	20
trilinseed ester	1.7	94.9	89.4	20

range with the exception of polyoxyethylene sorbitol. Solubilities of the emulsifiers in a variety of solvents were studied at 1% concentration by weight (Table IV).

## Film-Forming Properties of Linseed Emulsifiers

To test film-forming properties of these emulsifiers, a basic emulsion was compounded by mixing the following ingredients in the order listed:

Emulsifier	7 g
Pb-Co driers	
5% Ammonium dipicolinate in ethylene glycol	
Water	
Xylene	

The drier solution was made of 71.5% lead naphthenate, containing 24% lead, and of 28.5% cobalt naphthenate, containing 6% cobalt. Four drops of this solution resulted in approximately 0.6% lead and 0.06% cobalt based on the emulsifier. These emulsions were drawn on  $3\frac{1}{4}$  in.  $\times$   $4\frac{1}{4}$  in. glass slides with a doctor blade to a wet film thickness of 0.005 in. The "water test" described by Schwab et al. (10) was used after the films had dried 1 month (Table V).

Films containing polyoxyethylene washed off in the water test except those prepared with polyoxyethylene sorbitol trilinseed ester. The best film was formed from the dilinseed sorbitan ester. It also oxidized the

TABLE III
Physical Properties of Linseed Emulsifiers

Emulsifier	Specific gravity	Viscosity		Surface tension, b dynes/cm Conc., wt % in water		
	at 25Č	at 250"	1.0	0.1	0.01	0.001
Linseed monoglyceride Mixed linseed monoglyceride and monosorbitan esters.  Oleyl polyoxyethylene ether Linseed polyoxyethylene ether Polyoxyethylene monolinseed sorbitan ester. Polyoxyethylene monolinseed sorbitan ester. Polyoxyethylene sorbitol Monolinseed sorbitan ester.  Dilinseed sorbitan ester.  Polyoxyethylene sorbitol monolinseed ester. Polyoxyethylene sorbitol dilinseed ester.  Polyoxyethylene sorbitol tilinseed ester.  Polyoxyethylene sorbitol tilinseed ester.	1.010  1.090 1.059 1.204 1.004 0.984 1.113 1.069 1.032	cp solid 1,000 solid solid 676 391 1,077 1,470 307 895 551 275	42 39 39 42 64 	31 43 39 41 42 67 32  42 44 48	31 37 44 40 44 46 69 37 49 45 45	34 56 48 43 57 70 63 67 53 59

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Cannon-Fenske-Ostwald viscometer. <sup>b</sup> du Noüy tensiometer.

TABLE IV
Solubilities of Linseed Emulsifiers at 1% Concentrations

	W	ater			Solv	ents		
Emulsifier	0.1%	1.0%	Benzene	Ethyl ether	Acetone	Methyl alcohol	Mineral spirits	Linseed oil
Linseed monoglyceride.  Mixed linseed monoglyceride and monosorbitan esters.  Oleyl polyoxyethylene ether.  Linseed polyoxyethylene ether.  Polyoxyethylene monolinseed sorbitan ester.  Polyoxyethylene dilinseed sorbitan ester.  Polyoxyethylene sorbitol.  Monolinseed sorbitan ester.  Dilinseed sorbitan ester.  Polyoxyethylene sorbitol monolinseed ester.  Polyoxyethylene sorbitol dilinseed ester.  Polyoxyethylene sorbitol trilinseed ester.	IDsssssD1ssD	II SSSSSIII SSD	ававававава	ававанававанав	aaaaaaaaaaa	аасававанава	221111122112	SSILSSLSSLLS

I-Complete insolubility, D-Dispersibility or cloudy emulsion. S-Clear solutions,

TABLE V
Tests on Linseed Emulsifier Films

Emulsifier	Description of film	Max wt gain, %	Water test (10)
Linseed monoglyceride.  Mixed linseed monoglyceride and monosorbitan esters.  Oleyl polyoxyethylene ether.  Linseed polyoxyethylene ether.  Polyoxyethylene monolinseed sorbitan ester.  Polyoxyethylene dilinseed sorbitan ester.  Polyoxyethylene sorbitol.  Monolinseed sorbitan ester.  Dilinseed sorbitan ester.  Polyoxyethylene sorbitol monolinseed ester.  Polyoxyethylene sorbitol dilinseed ester.  Polyoxyethylene sorbitol dilinseed ester.	Soft, tacky Soft, tacky Greasy, no adhesion or cohesion Soft, cily Soft, tacky, poor cohesion Soft, tacky, poor cohesion Soft, greasy, poor cohesion Tacky, fairly cohesive Hard, dry Soft, tacky, poor cohesion Soft, tacky, poor cohesion Tacky, good cohesion and adhesion	6.2 4.8 0.0 1.0 3.3 4.9 0.0 6.6 9.9 1.7 3.4 4.6	Opaque Very opaque Washed off Washed off Washed off Washed off Washed off Slightly opaque Excellent resistance Washed off Washed off Slightly opaque

most as indicated by the weight gain. This weight gain was oxidation and not moisture absorption since the films from oleyl polyoxyethylene ether and polyoxyethylene sorbitol did not show any weight gain under the test conditions.

#### Paints Made with Linseed Emulsifiers

One paint was made according to the following formula in which only emulsifiers were used for the vehicle:

101	
Material	Weight, %
Water	28.38
Linseed polyoxyethylene ether	2.51
Ethylene glycol	0.27
Ammonium dipicolinate	0.01
Antifoam	
Hydroxyethyl cellulose	0.02
Dilinseed sorbitan ester	18.82
Cobalt naphthenate (6% Co)	0.40
Mineral spirits	
Rutile TiO2 "Ti-Pure 610"	
A.S.P. 400 clay	
Mica mineralite	

The paint contained 68% nonvolatiles and remained stable after storing for 25 months at 25C. It was compounded by adding all the water-soluble materials in the order listed to one-third of the water. The dilinseed sorbitan ester, cobalt naphthenate, and the mineral spirits were combined and then added to the water solution. The pigments were dispersed in the remaining two-thirds of the water, and the emulsion was added to the slurry. The emulsion was not stable until the pigment slurry was added. Although the sample was too small to determine viscosity, it had a dry-to-touch time of less than 30 min and a throughdry of 10 hr as determined on the Sanderson drier. It passed the water test after drying 1 hr. The paint had good brushing qualities and was easy to clean from the brush with water.

The following general formula was used to test several combinations of emulsifiers:

Material	Weight, %
Water	. 29.4
A.S.P. 400 clay	3.9
Mica mineralite	
Rutile TiO2 "Ti-Pure 610"	
Hydrophilic emulsifier (Type I)	3.0
Hydroxyethyl cellulose W.P. 4400	
Advance antifoam	
Ethylene glycol	. 0.43
Ammonium dipicolinate	
M-37 linseed oill	21.7
Ethyl cellosolve	~ .
Hydrophobic emulsifier (Type II)	
Cobalt naphthenate (6% Co)	

The paints were compounded by making slurries of the first nine ingredients and adding mixtures of the last four ingredients to these slurries.

The pairs of emulsifiers, types I and II, and their hydrophile-lipophile balance numbers (HLB) are shown in Table VI, calculated according to Griffin (2). The HLB range was from 9.1-13.2.

TABLE VI Emulsifiers and Resulting Hydrophile-Lipophile Balance (HLB)

Paint no.	Hydrophilic emulsifier Type I	Hydrophobic emulsifier Type II	HLB
1	Commercial polyoxyethylene sorbitan monostearate Polyoxyethylene dilinseed sorbitan ester	Commercial sorbitan monostearate Dilinseed sorbitan ester	10.3 10.1
3 4	Commercial polyoxyethylene sorbitan mono-oleate Linseed polyoxyethylene	Commercial sorbitan mono-oleate Polyoxyethylene sorbitol	10.4
5	ether Oleyl polyoxyethylene ether	trilinseed ester Commercial sorbitan mono-oleate	$\frac{13.2}{9.7}$
6	Commercial oleyl polyoxy- ethylene ether	Commercial sorbitan mono-oleate	10.4

Table VII illustrates the paint viscosities and how they changed with time. The biggest percentage change in viscosity occurred in paint No. 4 (HLB of 13.2). A thirteenfold viscosity increase was observed.

TABLE VII Viscosity vs. Age in Weeks of TiO2 Linseed Emulsion Paints with Different Emulsifier Pairs

Paint no.	HLB	0 ср	6 ср	15 cp	36 cp	48 cp	107 ср
1	10.3	15,000	19,000	15,000	21,000	19,000	25,000
2	10.1	7,000	6,700	5,700	5,800	5,500	6,900
3	10.4	2,200	1,200	1,200	1,700	2,000	4,000
4	13.2	1,300	1,100	1,700	3,300	5,400	16,700
5	9.7	950	880	870	960	1,350	2,200
6	10.4	260	160	200	200	300	530

When driers and pigments were added to a stable linseed oil emulsion having an HLB of 14.2, a gummy paint exhibiting syneresis resulted. The most stable paints were with pairs of types I and II in the HLB range of 10-12.

Initial viscosities of the paints depended on the choice of emulsifiers as well as the HLB. The saturated stearate emulsifiers gave a paint of 15,000 cp at an HLB of 10.3, whereas the unsaturated oleates resulted in 2,200 cp at an HLB of 10.4. We are investigating the possibilities of controlling the paint viscosities by means of the choice of emulsifiers, thus eliminating the need for thickeners.

The increased stability of linseed oil emulsion paints containing zinc oxide and formulated with some of the linseed emulsifiers has been reported in detail (8).

First attempts to formulate M-37 linseed oil emulsion paints with ordinary commercial emulsifiers and a pigment in which zinc oxide was substituted for 37.5% of the weight of titanium dioxide resulted in emulsions that broke in about 30 min. Two paints based on the general formula but containing zinc oxide showed the viscosity versus time effects that are illustrated in Table VIII. Both paints had lin-

TABLE VIII Viscosity vs. Age of Linseed Emulsion Paints Containing Zinc Oxide and Different Emulsifier Pairs

Age, weeks	Brookfield viscosity at 20 rpm, ep			
Weeks	Paint no. 1	Paint no. 2		
0	2,200	1,800		
7	3,000	1,000		
20	2,800	590		
34	2,500	800		
46	3,400	900		
63	6,400	1,200		
72	5,700	1,500		
103	7,900	10,000		
116	13,000	11,000		
129	14,000	20,000		

seed polyoxyethylene ether as the type I emulsifier. Paint No. 1 had linseed monoglyceride as the type II emulsifier and had an HLB of 10.5; paint No. 2 had monolinseed sorbitan ester and had an HLB of 11.4.

# REFERENCES

- REFERENCES

  1. Fine, R. D., JAOCS, 35, 542-547 (1958).
  2. Griffin, W. C., J. Soc. Cosmetic Chemists 5, 249-256 (1954).
  3. Griffin, W. C. (Atlas Powder), U. S. 2,380,166 (1945).
  4. Hahn, F. J., Abstracts of Papers 9P, Division of Organic Coatings and Plastic Chemistry, 139th Meeting, ACS, St. Louis, Mo., March 1961; Preprint 21, 214.
  5. Hilliard, D. A., and R. E. Srail, Ibid., 9P; Preprint 21, 270.
  6. Jaffe, H. L., and J. H. Fickenscher, Ibid., 7P.
  7. Karabinos, J. V., G. E. Bartels, and G. E. Kapella, JAOCS 31, 419-420 (1954).
  8. Kubie, W. L., J. A. Stolp, A. W. Schwab, H. M. Teeter, and J. C. Cowan, Offic. Dig. Federation Socs. Paint Technol. 34, 693-703 (1962).
- (1902).

  9. Mattil, K. F., and R. J. Sims, JAOOS 29, 59-61 (1952).

  10. Schwab, A. W., W. L. Kubie, J. A. Stolp, J. C. Cowan, and H. M. Teeter, Offic. Dig. Federation Socs. Paint Technol. 33, 747-758 (1961).

[Received August 24, 1962—Accepted December 1, 1962]